

[REDACTED]  
From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: 21 May 2013 15:35  
To: Donna Harrison  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: FW: Reponse by the CDDA

Hi Donna

Referring to the my previous email to you.

In order to eliminate the risk of any kind of misunderstanding or confusion about my words on the POLICY 5,7,8 I feel I should clarify my comments. Trade is not in favor of these changes so please deal with the mentioned policy numbers as trade does not agree with proposed changes.

Response to rest of the policy stays same as my previous email.

Regards  
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: Reponse by the CDDA  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2013 16:09:41 +0100

Hi Donna

As trade agree with most of the policy proposed but there are only few things which trade disagree with and they are

**POLICY 1:** we agree with the the condition for the new driver but why a existing drivers has to do the course every 4 years and there is a £45 unnecessary cost involved on the divers.

**POLICY 2:** trade feels that as a hackney driver ones knowledge is tested more then private hire driver and if a driver needs to down grade to private hire from hackney then it should be easier to do so. we agree with the proposal if its for a private hire wants to upgrade to hackney

**POLICY 3: AGREE**

**POLICY 4: AGREE**

**POLICY 5:** the response to this one is not different then comments already in the document.

**POLICY 6:** is there a system in place with embassies to obtain such license?

**POLICY 7,8:** the response to this one is not different then comments already in the document.

**POLICY 9:** just need to get some clarification on this one does the change of dress code effects only the executive plate drivers?

**POLICY 10: AGREE**

**POLICY 11: AGREE**

Regards  
[REDACTED]

\*\*\*\*\*

This communication is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s).